Interview Summary	Application No. 09/689,738	Applicant(s)
	Examiner Andrew Lee	Art Unit 2663

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Lee (Examr.) (3) Alan Kasper

(2) Hassan Kizou (SPE) (4) Dr Chuck Pateros

Date of Interview: 1/22/04

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No.
   If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Luczycki et al. (US 6,523,069 B1); 6,101,180.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Examiner agree to consider the references in light of the argument.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to, if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____ after hearing applicant rep's explanation of the difference between the claim and the references

(A fuller description, if necessary) and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required